# EXHIBIT M

Pages 1 - · 33 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP, JUDGE THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Plaintiff V., NO. CR .08-00222 WHA LUKE D. BRUGNARA, Defendant. San Francisco, California Friday, May 15, 2009 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** For Plaintiff United States Attorney's Office 9th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36055 San Francisco, CA 94102 BY: THOMAS M. NEWMAN Assistant United States Attorney For Defendant Law Offices of Hallinan & Wine 345 Franklin Street San Francisco, CA 94102 BY: KENNETH HOWARD WINE Attorney at Law Reported By: CHRISTINE TRISKA, CSR, RPR

Christine A. Triska, CSR, RPR
Pro-Tem Reporter - U.S. District Court
(650) 743-8425

Pro-Tem Reporter

Friday, May 15, 2009
10:03 AM
PROCEEDINGS
THE CLERK: Calling criminal case CR08-0222, United
States versus Luke Brugnara,
Counsel, please state your appearance.
MR. NEWMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Tom Newman for
the United States.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MR. WINE: Good morning, your Honor. Ken Wine for
Mr. Brugnara, who is present.
THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
THE COURT: All right. What have we got to do today?
MR. WINE: Change of plea, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is there a signed agreement?
MR. WINE: There is.
THE COURT: Can I see that?
MR. WINE: You may.
(Whereupon, counsel hands
the document to the Court.)
THE COURT: So what do you think the Criminal History
Category is?
MR. WINE: We believe it's a one, your Honor.
MR. NEWMAN: I believe it's a one. I don't know for

```
sure, but I believe it is a one.
  1
  2
               THE COURT: And if it's a one, what does that
  3
    correspond to?
              MR. WINE: A sentence of 18 to 24 months with both
  4
 5
    parties asking for the low end there.
 6
              THE COURT:
                           It doesn't say "low end" here, does it?
 · 7
              MR. WINE:
                          It does on paragraph 19.
 8
                          Well, let's be clear on that. This is not
              THE COURT:
    worded the way I'm used to seeing it.
10
              This says it's a Rule 11(c)(1)(C).
11
              So what if I were to give a high-end sentence?
12
                         Well, the plea agreement contemplates a
              MR. WINE:
13
    Level 15, your Honor; Criminal History Category One with a range
    of 18 to 24 months. And it's up to the Judge to decide -- the
14
    Court to decide what he wants to do between that range.
15
16
              The government has agreed to recommend the low end,
17
    and we will certainly be asking for the low end.
18
                          I understand that, but I have no way of
              THE COURT:
    telling you whether or not I can accept that now.
19
                                                        I have to go
20
    through the process.
21
              MR. WINE:
                         That's correct.
22
              THE COURT: So if I go through the process and decide
   that it ought to be the high end, then we need to have an
23
24
   understanding of whether or not this is going to be at
25
   11(c)(1)(C) that allows the defendant to withdraw his guilty
```

```
plea.
              MR. WINE: It does not, your Honor, at 24 months.
 2
 3
    Anything over 24 months it would.
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
 5
              Is that the way you understand it, Mr. Brugnara?
 6
                         (There was a discussion off the record.)
 7
              THE COURT: I want you to take a moment, and we're
    going to take a five-minute recess, and you talk to your client
 8
 9
    about this.
10
                        Fine. Thank you very much, your Honor.
              MR. WINE:
11
                        (A recess was taken at 10:07 a.m.)
12
              THE COURT: All right. Back on the record. Everyone
13
    is here.
              Be seated, please.
14
              So Mr. Wine, are we okay on this?
15
              MR. WINE:
                         Yeah.
16
             THE COURT:
                          So state again what the -- what would be
17
    the right to withdraw from this plea.
18
              MR. WINE:
                         The -- if the government does not recommend
19
    the low end of the guidelines or fulfill any of its promises,
20
   then the Court would sentence over 24 months.
              THE COURT: All right. What if it turns out to be --
21
   I know you're probably right; it's Criminal History Category
22
23
   One, but I have been surprised before.
24
             What if it's Criminal History Category Two?
25
             MR. WINE:
                         According to this agreement -- we haven't
```

```
discussed that to be frank. We don't know of any criminal
  1
     history, your Honor.
  3
               THE COURT: Well, I know that. But the way this is
     worded seems to me that you'd just be taking your chances.
  5
     it turns out that it's Number Two then we'd be at the next
     level, whatever that is.
  7
               MR. NEWMAN: Which is correct. But I don't have any
     reason to believe that Mr. Brugnara is a Criminal History
     Category Two. I don't think there's anything to even suggest
 10
            But that is correct.
. 11
               THE COURT: Well, is that the way you see it, Mr.
 12
    Wine?
 13
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Excuse me.
                                           Why don't we come back on
               You need to do your homework.
 14
    Tuesday?
 15
              MR. WINE: Your Honor, believe me, we nagged the
 16
    government for every detail we got on this plea. We think Mr.
 17
    Newman will confirm that, and push it for every advantage.
              We are both assuming that it is Criminal History
18
19
    Category One.
                   If it's Criminal History Category Two we'd ask
20
    to withdraw, I suppose, and if Tom has a problem with that --
21
              MR. NEWMAN: I'll agree to that, because I am
. 22
    confident that he is a one.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
24
              MR. WINE:
                         So we are exactly where we expected to be.
25
    your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: All right. I guess I can go along with that if it's -- so you're assuming that it's Criminal -- so I'm 3 just going to treat this as Sentencing Guideline 15, Criminal History Category One. 5 MR. NEWMAN: Yes. MR. WINE: Fine. 6 7 THE COURT: And if it turns out to be greater than that -- that's something the Court would normally not do, is gamble on this and take all this extra time. 10 . But since you both seem to be confident it's going 11 to turn out that way -- one out of ten times somehow the defendant has got some -- some conviction that the lawyers 12 13 don't know about. I'm not saying that's true here, but I've 14 seen it happen. And believe me, the probation people will find it if it's there, 15 MR. WINE: Your Honor, the intent of the parties in 16 this agreement, and it's very clear I think, at least, from the 17 way I read this -- the Court has discretion between 18 and 24 18 19 months. 20 So the criminal history, or the guideline range is 21 not what's critical here. What is critical is that the 22 government agrees to recommend the low end. We'll ask for 23 the low end, and the Court has discretion between 18 and 24 24 months.

All right.

THE COURT:

25

```
So Mr. Brugnara, do you want to go forward today?
  2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
              THE COURT: Please stand in the middle and raise your
  4
    right hand.
 5
              THE DEFENDANT: (Complies.)
 6
              THE COURT: Please administer the oath.
              THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the answers you
 8
    shall make shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
 9
    the truth, so help you, God?
10
              THE DEFENDANT:
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brugnara, please tell us your
12
    full and complete name.
13
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Luke Dominic Brugnara.
14
              THE COURT: All right. And where do you live?
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              224 Sea Cliff Avenue, San Francisco.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, you know you're under oath;
17
    correct?
18
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
              THE COURT: And that means you have to tell the truth;
20
   you understand?
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
22
             THE COURT: And I have to warn you that if you don't
   tell the truth you can be prosecuted for perjury.
23
24
              So you understand that?
25
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
```

1	THE COURT: All right. So how old are you?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Forty-five.
3	THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Eighteen years.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So you graduated from college, or
6	
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: What college was that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: San Diego State University.
10	THE COURT: And what was your degree in?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Communications.
12	THE COURT: Do you have an occupation?
13	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
.14	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
15	THE DEFENDANT: I'm president of Brugnara Corporation,
16	and Brugnara Properties One and Brugnara Properties Six.
17	THE COURT: All right. Are you thinking clearly
18	today?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Are you under the influence of any
21	alcohol, medicine or narcotic?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No.
23	THE COURT: Is there any medicine you should be taking
24	but forgot to take?
25	THE DEFENDANT: No.

1	THE COURT: Are you being treated for any kind of
2	mental illness?
3	THE DEFENDANT: No.
4	THE COURT: Do you think you are mentally ill?
5	THE DEFENDANT: No.
·6	THE COURT: I have to ask these questions, because
. 7	sometimes people plead guilty and then try to get out of their
8	guilty plea on the ground that they were mentally ill.
9	So you're feeling fine? You're thinking clearly
10	and so forth?
11	THE DEFENDANT: I'm feeling as fine as one could feel
12	under these circumstances.
13	THE COURT: Are you thinking clearly?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
. 15	THE COURT: All right. That's the key.
16	Now, I want to turn to this case for a moment. You
17	were charged here, it looks like with filing false tax
18	returns for the year 2000.
19	Were you aware of that?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Are you aware of the charge, and did you
. 22	discuss the charges with your lawyer, Mr. Wine?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. The government cited it was
24	17-and-a-half million dollars.
25	THE COURT: But have you gone over with your lawyer

```
all the possible ways to defend against the case?
 2
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Sadly, yes.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. And are you fully satisfied
    with the advice and counsel from your lawyer?
 4
              THE DEFENDANT:
 .5
. 6
              THE COURT: I understand your willingness to plead
    guilty is the result of your discussion between your lawyer and
    the government lawyer that led up to that this agreement.
9
              Is that right?
              THE DEFENDANT:
10
                             Valentino.
11
              MR. WINE:
                         "Yes."
              THE DEFENDANT: Valentino.
12
13
              THE COURT: What does that mean?
14
              THE DEFENDANT: Oh, just Valentino.
15
              You want to know what it means?
16
              THE COURT: No.
                               The answer is either yes or no.
17
              I understand your willingness to plead guilty is
    the result of a discussion between your lawyer and the
18
19
   government lawyer that led up to this agreement --
20
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
              THE COURT: -- plea agreement; right?
21
22
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
              THE COURT:
                          And did you read this agreement?
24
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
25
             THE COURT:
                          Did you understand it?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 2
                          Did you talk it over with your lawyer?
              THE COURT:
 3
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Wine, you don't have to shake your
 5
    head yes or no.
 6
              MR. WINE: I'm sorry. I know.
 7
              THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara is the CEO of a company. If
    I can't ask a clear question he will ask me to repeat it.
. 9
              Right?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: So you answer this -- it's important to me
    that you understand what you're doing.
12
13
              THE DEFENDANT: 'And that's why I said what I said, and
14
    I'm doing this plea with full, sound mind.
15
              I was surprised the way criminal tax court
    sentences if they are found guilty. That's the honest to
16
    God -- I'm here under penalty of perjury. I'm being honest
17
    with you, your Honor.
18
19
              THE COURT: Look -- all right.
20
              THE DEFENDANT: And that's part of the plea.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. Now, did you -- does this
22
   agreement -- did you sign this agreement?
23
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Do you understand the agreement?
25
              THE DEFENDANT: 'I do.
```

```
THE COURT: Did you talk it over with your lawyer?
 1
 2
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               I did.
 3
              THE COURT: Does this agreement contain your full
 4
    agreement with the government, or do you think you have some
 5
    side deal with the government?
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Well, there were two items in here.
 7
    One was -- well, you just brought up to the attention -- well,
    one that should have been included about the ---
              THE COURT: With the caveats of what we've talked
10
    about --.
11
              THE DEFENDANT: There's one -- your Honor, there was
12
    one about self-surrender --
13
              MR. WINE: We were going to put that on the record,
14
    your Honor.
15
              THE DEFENDANT: -- that was supposed to be on the
1.6
    record.
             It wasn't --
1.7
              THE COURT: Other than that, does this agreement have
    your full agreement with the government?
18
19
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: All right. So what I want to do now -- by
21
   the way, are you CJA in this case?
22
             MR. WINE:
                         No, your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: You're retained?
24
              MR. WINE:
                         I am.
25
              THE COURT:
                          So I want to go through the -- what will
```

```
happen if you do plead guilty. That's step one.
 2
    we'll back up and go through your right to go to trial to make
    you understand you've got the right to go to trial; all right?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Ýes.
 5
              THE COURT: So let's go through step one.
 6
              Step one is that you -- it says here that you want
 7
    to plead guilty to violating -- filing false tax returns for
    the year 2000 in violation of 26 U.S.C. 72061.
 8
                                                    And here are
    the elements of this offense:
10
              One, that the defendant made and subscribed to income
    tax returns that contained false information as to a material
11
12
    matter; ·
13
              Number two, that the defendant knew the information
14
    was false;
              Number three, that the return contained a written
15
16
    declaration that it was being signed subject to penalties of
17
   perjury;
18
              And four, in making and filing the tax return the
19
   defendant acted willfully.
20
              So those are the elements; okay?
21
              The maximum prison term under the statute is three
22
   years; maximum fine of 250,000; maximum supervised release term,
23
              Has a mandatory special assessment of $100, and
24
   restitution has been calculated here at three forty-three zero
   thirty-eight, plus interest.
```

1 You understand all that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Now, even though the maximum prison 3 sentence is three years, you have kind of a special deal in your plea agreement, and that is, if I -- if you get a sentence within 18 to 24 months, you're stuck with it. But if it's more than 24 months -- and I don't know what it'll be yet because we've got to go through the sentencing hearing -- but if it is more than 24 months then you would have the right to get out of 10 this plea agreement and go to trial -- go back to square one. 11 Understand? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. . 13 THE COURT: So, I want you to understand the procedure that we've got to go through to decide on what is the right 14 15 sentence. 16 I know that the government had agreed to recommend the low end. That's fine. That's a recommendation, and your 17 18 lawyer is going to recommend that too. 19 But the judge also has a duty here, and the judge 20 and probation -- probation first will do a report on you called a "presentence report." I wish I had one here to show 21 22 you, but it's a pretty thick document, maybe 20 pages, single It's a big write-up about you, your history, what 23 24 happened in this case, your entire criminal history, which hopefully will be very -- nothing. And then we come back 25

```
here and we go over any errors in there. We hear from
     everyone, including you, on what is the right sentence in
  2
  3
     your case.
               So let's say that at the end of the day I decide that
     it's a sentence that falls within 18 to 24 months, which may
  5
    happen. Then you would be stuck with that sentence, because
    that's what you --- you pled guilty, and you don't have a right
  7
    to get out of your plea if the sentence comes out in that range.
              On the other hand, if the Court were to give you 25
    months or even 24 months and one day, then you would have the
10
    right automatically to say, "I don't want that sentence.
11
    I'll take my chances at trial" -- take back your guilty plea
12
13
    and go to trial.
14
              Okay?
                     You got that?
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
16
                          I need -- I cannot tell you what the
              THE COURT:
17
    sentence is going to be because I haven't read the presentence
    report for the obvious reason it hasn't even been prepared, so I
18
    don't know what the underlying facts and circumstances here
19
           I don't know what other considerations need to be taken
20
21
    into account.
22
              I have to -- here's what I have to take into account.
23
   I have to take into account the guidelines. So we got to do a
24
   guideline calculation.
25
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              I know too much about the guidelines.
```

```
THE COURT:
 1
                          All right. All right.
 2
              But in addition I have to take into account the
    need for deterrence, the need to promote serious respect for
              There's a laundry list of things that Congress has
    come up with that I have to take into account, and I do it in
                 A careful consideration goes into each one.
 6
    every case.
 7
              So, while you cannot count on being sentenced in this
 8
    range, what you can count on is that if you're not sentenced in
    that range or you get a worse sentence for some reason you can
    say, "I want out of that. I want to go to trial." We'd allow
10
11
    you then to take back your plea of guilty and take your chances
12
    at trial.
13
              I guess the government has the right to -- in one
    case I decided it was too harsh. I went below the agreement.
14
    So the government, I guess, would have a right in that case
15
16
    to take back the -- and go to trial; understand? So they
17
    have the right to go the other way if I decide to go below
18
    the indicated range; all right?
19
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: So it works both ways.
21
              This is a felony that you would be pleading guilty
22
   to.
23
             Do you understand that?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right. You would be known the rest of
```

```
your life as a convicted felon; you understand that?
 2
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               (Nods head.)
              THE COURT: It may be a hard thing to digest, but if
 3
    you've never been convicted of anything I want you to be aware
    of that.
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, that's why I said the word
    "Valentino," because sadly -- please -- and I'm a CEO. I'm a
 7
    businessman in this criminal tax court, and my real estate
    attorney is also a judge. He was shocked by the Valentino
    ruling, where tax loss is not calculated by actual tax loss but
10
11
    gross income without the benefit of any deductions. And that
    was upheld in the Ninth Circuit like three or four times.
12
13
              I've made 50, 60 million dollars in one year. I'd
14
    be looking at nine years under the federal sentencing
15
    guidelines if I'd lost in a jury as opposed to the actual
    loss, which they said was 17-and-a-half million dollars.
16
17
    It's a hundred grand.
18
              I have four little children.
                                           I don't have the
   luxury of sitting here and gambling seven years of my life
19
   with four small children irrespective of any of this.
20
21
             And that's the law; you know, it's a Ninth Circuit
22
   law for Federal Sentencing Guidelines. I know you can go
23
   below the guidelines, but my understanding is judges usually
   stay within the guidelines, so, you know, I'm stuck.
24
25
             That's the why life goes, you know.
                                                   That's the way
```

```
it is, and they said I owed seventeen five -- I owe a
    hundred, but if I get convicted I go for eight years under
    the quidelines.
 3
              Is it fair? I don't think it's fair, but it's the
 5
    way the law is, and I take this plea based on the law --
    based on my full understanding of the law.
· 7
              Sadly, I understand it probably better than a lot
    of attorneys now, because I've studied it.
 8
    unbelievable. It's incredulous. Two judge friends of mine
10
    said it's a -- Valentino is an incredulous ruling, because
11
   you take what is an actual tax loss, which is a hundred
12
    grand, and you calculate it based upon the fact that it!s
    8,000 percent higher for guideline purposes, and those are
13
   the quidelines.
14
15
              So that obviously wasn't intended for somebody who
   had 50 million dollars of income with a
16
17
   hundred-thousand-dollar loss, but sadly, I'm stuck with that
18
   ruling in the Ninth Circuit.
19
                          All right. There's no such thing as
              THE COURT:
20
   parole, so if you get sentenced to X months in prison you serve
21
   all X months unless you get a tiny little bit of a discount for
22
   good behavior.
              Do you understand that part?
23
24
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                                 Being convicted of a felony
                          Okay.
```

```
might -- might -- it will certainly have some collateral
 1
 2
    consequences.
 3
              You could not serve on a jury.
                                              There are certain
 4
    public offices you cannot hold. You can never have a gun
    again.
            You can never have ammunition the rest of your life.
 6
              Do you understand that?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
 8
             THE COURT: Okay. Now, let's change the subject.
 9
             If -- you don't have to plead guilty. You have the
10
    right to go to trial and make the government prove its case.
11
    And you get to be here to see and hear all the witnesses
12
    against you.
13
              If you can't afford a lawyer we would appoint one
    at no cost to you. You would work with your lawyer for the
14
15
    best possible cross-examinations of those witnesses.
16
    government could not call you as a witness in the case.
17
              You understand that?
18
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              (Nods head.)
19
              THE COURT: You don't have to prove anything.
20
   don't have to prove you're innocent. They have to prove you're
21
   guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
22
              Understand?
23
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
24
              THE COURT:
                          When the government has rested its case
   you have the right to put on a defense, but you don't have to,
```

```
because the burden is never on you. It's always on the
    government.
              But if you chose to put on a defense, that would be
          We would subpoena whoever you wanted. We would
    obligate them to tell the truth by putting them under oath.
    In addition, you could testify in your own defense.
 6
 7
              You understand that part?
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
              THE COURT: And if you chose to testify, that'd be
    fine, too, and we would go to the extra step of telling the jury
10
11
    that you have a constitutional right not to testify, and that
12
    the jury cannot use it against you in any way because you
13
    exercise that right.
14
              Understood?
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. At the end of all the evidence
17
    then the jury has got to go into the jury room and decide
   whether the government has proven its case beyond a reasonable
18
19
   doubt as to each and every element of the offense.
20
              If even one person on the jury thought that you -
21
   the government had not proven its case as to even one
22
    element, that jury could not convict you.
23
              Do you understand?
24
              THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.
                                     The jury instructions we were
25
   given a copy of.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: You what?
  2
               THE DEFENDANT: We were given a copy of the jury
    instructions.
              THE COURT:
                           You do?
              THE DEFENDANT: We were given -- yes.
 5
 б
              THE COURT:
                           I haven't done any jury instructions.
 7
             MR. WINE:
                         These are the proposed jury -- he's -- the
 8
    proposed jury instructions the government filed -- a copy was
 9
    given to Mr. Brugnara. So he understands the jury's duties in a
10
    case like this.
11
              THE COURT: Well, those are just the government's
12
    versions. Those aren't all the versions.
13
              MR. WINE:
                        That's true.
                                       That's true.
14
              THE COURT:
                          I don't know whether I would adopt those
15
    or not.
16
              MR. WINE:
                         That's correct. He knows that.
17
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
18
              If the jury -- on the other hand if the jury did
19
    decide the government had proven its case beyond a reasonable
20
    doubt to the satisfaction of all 12 people, then the jury
21
    would be obligated to convict you; right?
22
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: So then you would have the right to appeal
    both the verdict as well as any sentence.
24
25
              So do you understand all of those rights?
```

```
THE DEFENDANT:
 1.
                               Yes.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Now, here's one of the key
 3
    things:
             If you plead guilty today there will be no trial and
    you will be giving up all of those rights.
 5
              Understand?
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: So you would be going straight to quilty
 8
    and giving up your right to go to trial.
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: With eight years in the balance if we
10
    go to trial with the sentencing guidelines.
11
              THE COURT:
                          I don't know what the sentence would be,
    but, you know, you would have to make whatever calculated
12
13
    decisions you want to make.
14
              THE DEFENDANT: That's what it is.
15
              THE COURT: Fine. But is this a guilty plea or a nolo
16
    plea, Mr. Wine?
17
              MR. WINE:
                         It's a guilty plea.
18
              THE COURT: So then you would also be giving up your
19
    right to take any appeal.
20
              Understand?
              THE DEFENDANT:
21
                              Yes.
22
              THE COURT: So if you got the high end of this range
   you would be stuck with it and there would be no appeal;
24
   understand?.
25
            - THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: You would also be unable to even file a
 1
    habeas corpus. The only thing you could file would be a
    collateral attack saying that you didn't get adequate advice
 3
    from counsel. It's very hard to prove.
 5
             So you're basically out of luck if you plead
    quilty.
 6
 7
              Understand?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to give those rights up
10
   to go to trial freely and voluntarily?
11
              THE DEFENDANT: Give up -- sorry, your Honor?
12
              THE COURT: All right. You understand your right to
13
    go to trial and all those rights that I described to you a
14
   moment ago?
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: And you know that if you plead guilty you
17
   will be giving those rights up?
18
              THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes,
19
              THE COURT: Do you want to do that freely and
20
    voluntarily?
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
22
             THE COURT: Is anyone putting pressure on you to plead
23
   quilty?
24
              THE DEFENDANT:
25
              THE COURT: All right.
```

1	I'm now going to read to you what's in this
2	statement about what you did wrong. I have to go through and
3	paraphrase, and you tell me if it's correct or not.
4	It says here that you've been the sole shareholder
5	and owner of Brugnara Corporation since 1994.
б	Is that true?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: From its inception Brugnara Corporation
. 9	has been in the business of acquiring and renting real property
10	in the San Francisco area and elsewhere through several other
11	entities that you created called "Brugnara Properties One
12	through Six"; true?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Starting in February '98 the IRS requested
15	copies of your individual income tax returns from 1990 through
16	'96; true?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: That was in addition to copies of tax
19	returns for Brugnara Corporation; true?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: You returned those notices to the IRS with
22	a notation indicating that the tax returns were already filed.
23	True?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Around the same time you applied for a

```
gaming license to operate a casino after acquiring the Silver
 2
    City Casino in Las Vegas; true?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT:
              MR. WINE:
                         Is that true?
 5
              THE DEFENDANT:
 б
              THE COURT: In March 2000 an investigation was
 7
    commenced by the Nevada Gaming Control Board as part of your
    application for a Nevada gaming license; true?
 9
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
10
              THE COURT: The background investigation included an
11
    inspection of your federal and state tax files; true?
12
             . THE DEFENDANT:
13
              THE COURT: During the background check the
    investigating officers were told by the IRS that you had not
14
15
    filed tax returns from 1991 through '98; true?'
16
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Initially, yes.
17
              THE COURT: As part of that investigation you hired an
18
    independent accounting firm to prepare an opening cash statement
    to review -- to review your individual income tax returns for
19
20
    1993 to 1999; true?
21
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
              THE COURT:
                          On those returns you attached to the IRS
23
   form 1040 a copy of a document entitled "Schedule E," which you
24
   prepared; true?
25
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          On that form you listed total rental
    income for all properties and did not specifically account for
 3
    each individual property that was rented; true?
              THE DEFENDANT:
 4
                              Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: After reviewing those returns in March of
    2001 the accountants you hired prepared a detailed report
    stating that you were required to one, file partnership tax
    returns even if that entity earned no income; two, file
    corporate tax returns even if the corporation earned no income;
10
    three, prepare forms Schedule K; four, file a form Schedule E
11
    with your tax returns; and five, report the sales of any
12
    business property you sold during any year, including the Market
    Street, Polk Street, and Mission Street properties.
13
14
              Is that all true?
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
16
              THE COURT: Now, turning to the year 2000 Federal
    Income Tax Return.
17
18
              You filed with the Internal Revenue Service a copy of
19
   your 2000 Federal Income Tax Return, a 1040, on or about
20
    April 18, 2002; true?
21
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
22
              THE COURT: You signed under penalty of perjury under
23
    a jurat confirming that the information was true and correct.
              Is that right?
24
25
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
```

```
THE COURT:
                          On January 5 and 13, 2000, you sold real
 1
   properties located at 810 through 814 Mission Street and 935 to
 2
   939 Market Street respectively; true?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
              THE COURT: You did not list the sales on these
 5
   properties -- I'm sorry -- you did not list the sales -- I think
   that should be "of these properties" on your 2000 Federal Income
 7
    Tax Return that was filed with the IRS; true?
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: It was shown on the 2002 return.
             THE COURT: I'm sorry?
10
                              They were shown on the 2002 return.
11
              THE DEFENDANT:
                          On the 2002. But how about the 2000?
12
              THE COURT:
                                   They weren't shown on the 2000
              THE DEFENDANT:
13
                              No.
1.4
   return.
              THE COURT:
                          All right. And this you knew that you
15
   were required to provide on the form 1040 for 2000.
16
17
             Did you know that?
18
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
              THE COURT: All right. Well, if you sold them in the
19
   year 2000, you know, that's in the year that you're supposed to
20
   report it; right?
21
              THE DEFENDANT: Well, at the exchange that --
22
   apparently it was done wrong on the 1031 exchange.
23
24
   done properly.
                          Well, look, it says here -- and you got to
25
             THE COURT:
```

```
tell me if it's not true. It says here that you sold the real
    properties in 2000; in fact, in January of 2000.
              THE DEFENDANT: Correct. January 2000.
 3
              THE COURT: So are you going to stand by that, or are
 4
    you going to back away?
 5
              THE DEFENDANT: No, no. Absolutely.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: So if you sold it in the year 2000, that's
    the tax year you've got to account for; right?
 8 .
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Well, that's -- as I understand it
    that's what it has to be unless it --
10
              THE COURT: All right. And you knew at the time that
11
    you were required to report that on your 1040 for 2000?
12
              Yes or no?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Well, I understand that now.
.14
              THE COURT: It says here that you knew it then.
15
              Did you know it then?
16
17
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              This is the plea that I accept here,
    your Honor, and I understand that that's what it needs to show.
18
    It's shown on the 2002 returns. It should have shown on the
19
    2000 return.
20
              THE COURT: Do you acknowledge that you knew back then
21
    that your 1040 form for 2000 was supposed to list those sales in
22
23
    question?
                              That's what I understand.
              THE DEFENDANT:
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Did you understand that then?
```

```
1
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              That was ten years ago.
                                                       I quess
    that's what I understood then.
             THE COURT: All right. Number -- next -- I guess
 3
    that's the end of it.
             Anything more you want to add to this, Counsel?
 5
             MR. WINE: No, your Honor.
 6
 7
             MR. NEWMAN: No, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Do you have any questions for me, Mr.
 8
 9
   Brugnara?
             THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: You want more time to think about it, or
11
   do you want to go ahead?
12
                              I mean, if I can make any comment on
13
             THE DEFENDANT:
   the record I think the case precedent for sentencing guidelines
14
   since Valentino and its -- you know, it just makes this a
15
   non-starter.
16
             You've got guidelines based upon 15 million dollars -
17
   of tax loss when the actual tax loss is a hundred grand, so
18
   how can anybody with any common sense who is a CEO, who has
19
   four small children expose himself to a sentencing guideline
20
   of eight years? You'd have to be nuts to do that.
21
              (There was a discussion off the record.)
22
23
             THE DEFENDANT: No, listen. I respect you.
   telling you the truth. I mean, this is under penalty of
24
   perjury.
25
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Listen, there's some things about the
    guidelines I don't like either. There's some aspects of the law
 3
    that sometimes I think personally is misguided. But I've taken
    an oath to uphold the law, and that's what I do.
 - 5
              So, you know, what can I say? You're here to
    either plead guilty or not. You know you have the right to
 6
 7
    go to trial.
 8
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              I know, your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Nobody here is twisting your arm --
10
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              I understand that, your Honor.
11
              It was like -- watching the move with Mel Gibson,
    "The Patriot," the other night with my kids, it's like, you
12
13
    know, when you have four small children a lot of times you
    don't have a lot of luxuries that you might otherwise have.
14
15
              I do have four small children, so that's just the
    way life goes. I mean, you have to look at the facts, the
16
17
    guiding laws and -- you know, you have to do what's best for
18
    your family, and that's what I'm doing.
19
              THE COURT: All right. You're prepared to go forward
20
          I'll ask you the official question.
    now?
21
              You want to go forward?
22
             THE DEFENDANT:
23
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
24
             So, I am going to ask you now:
                                              How do you plead to
25
   Count One of the indictment charging you with filing false
```

```
. Ţ
    tax returns for the year 2000 and in violation of 26 U.S.C.
 2
    72061 -- guilty or not guilty?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               Guilty.
              THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara, I'm going to do what you
    asked me to do.
                     I'm going to find that you're fully competent
    and capable of entering an informed plea; that you are aware of
 б
    the nature of the charge against you and the possible
    consequences of pleading guilty; that your plea of guilty is
    knowing and voluntary and supported by an independent basis in
10
    fact.
11
              So that part is now done, and I'm going to accept
12
    your plea of guilty and adjudge you convicted of violating
    72061 as charged, and so that step is out of the way.
13
14
              I will now refer you to the Probation Department
15
    for the preparation of the presentence report, and you'll get
16
    a chance to go over that and comment on it. We'll come back
17
    here for a full hearing to decide what the right outcome is.
18
              So what is our sentencing date?
19
              THE CLERK:
                          August 18th, your Honor, at 2 p.m.
20
              THE COURT:
                          Is that a date that I'm here?
21
              THE CLERK:
                          Yes, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 August 18th.
23
              Did you say the 18th at 2 p.m.?
24
              THE CLERK:
                          Yes, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Does that work for you?
```

```
1
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Now, you got to be here that day. Your
 3
    lawyer has to be here --
 4
              THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I also want to apologize
    about what happened on Tuesday.
              I was not -- my understanding was that the
    designated court date wasn't on Tuesday. It was later. And
    there was a mix-up as to the mandatory nature of it.
    never disrespect you or the Court, not being at a mandatory
10
    date.
11
              THE COURT: All right. I accept that, but you're on
12
    an order to be at your sentencing date. So please, no confusion
13
    on that.
14
             'Mr. Wine, you make sure that Mr. Brugnara gets
    plenty of notice and plenty of reminder to be here, and so
15
16
    we'll see you on that occasion.
17
              MR. WINE: Great. Thank you, your Honor.
18
              MR. NEWMAN: Thank you, your Honor.
19
           (The proceedings were concluded at 10:47 a.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE TRISKA, Pro-Tem Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings in CR08-00222 WHA, USA v. Brugnara, were reported by me, a certified shorthand reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings as bound by me at the time of filing.

The validity of the reporter's certification of said transcript may be void upon disassembly and/or removal from the court file.

/S/ Christine Triska\_

Christine Triska, CSR 12826, CSR, RPR
Tuesday, June 9, 2009

Case3:08-cr-00222-WHA Document159 Filed03/22/11 Page35 of 76 Case: 10-10286 0/ 22/2011 Page: 1 of 39 ID: 7656175 DktEntry: 27-15

## EXHIBIT N

Pages 1 - 40

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

NO. CR. 08-0222-WHA

LUKE BRUGNARA,

San Francisco, California

Defendant.

Defendant.

Defendant.

1 Tuesday

January 26, 2010

4:00 p.m.

### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: United States Attorney's Office

9th Floor, Federal Building

450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 436-6805

BY: THOMAS M. NEWMAN

For Defendant: Law Offices of Harris B. Taback

235 Montgomery Street - Suite 942 San Francisco, California 94104

(415) 565-0110

BY: HARRIS BRUCE TABACK

Reported By: Lydia Zinn, CSR #9223, RPR

Official Reporter - U.S. District Court

THE CLERK: Calling Criminal Number CR. 08-222, 1 United States versus Luke Brugnara. Counsel, can you please 2 state your appearances? 3 MR. NEWMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Tom Newman, 4 on behalf the United States. 5 MR. TAYLOR: Harris Taback, appearing on behalf of 6 Mr. Brugnara, who's in custody, and will be brought out here 7 He is now you present before your Honor. 8 momentarily. THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara, welcome back. 9 We're here in which matter? Which matter All right. 10 11 are we -- Dawn, which case number did you call? 08-222, which is the only one we have. THE CLERK: 12 All right. All right. Very well. 13 THE COURT: 14 what are we here for? MR. TABACK: Your Honor, it's my understanding that 15 Mr. Brugnara wishes to essentially enter into an open plea to Counts One through Three. The Government will be dismissing .17 Count Four at the time of sentencing. 18 19 There are other aspects to this that are in the change of plea form that, once Mr. Brugnara signs, I will then 20 provide to the clerk. So you could proceed. THE COURT: All right. Is is that basically correct, 22 Mr. Brugnara? 23 Basically, I wanted to put a THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 few things on the record. I'm sure we we'll get into it.

THE COURT: Well, I -- before you sign some document, 1 if you have a speech that you want to make, you'd better make 3 it. I don't know. Do you want your client to be making 4 5 statements on the record? I think what -- the way it would be MR. TABACK: No. 6 appropriate is, assuming he signs this, my recommendation would be that we proceed. And if there be -- if there are any issues that come up, you first bring it to my attention, and we'll 9 deal with it, but I'm expecting --10 All right. Fine. We'll do it that way. THE COURT: 11 I'm just telling you what I was told. THE DEFENDANT: 12 MR. TABACK: We'll get to that. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I understand. 14 MR. TABACK: If you want to sign it, sign it. 15 THE DEFENDANT: I want to see how you want to deal 16 with it; whether you want it written, or how you want to deal 17 18 with it. Essentially, the terms are correct in this document. 19 20 I was, just to let you know, taken from Judge Chesney's courtroom on Friday, the eve of the trial --21 the eve of the fish trial -- for purportedly some secondhand 23 comment that someone said I made. And I still don't know what the facts are of that, but I just wanted to explain this, 24 because, as you know, for two years I've come to you properly 25

dressed. And, you know, I take a lot of pride in, you know, who I am. So I just wanted to let you know that.

Now, this is basically a global resolution, as I understand it. And it is settles the fish case.

Whatever this new charge is -- again, I haven't even seen it.

And — and the tax case — I was told by Tom Newman that essentially, because — you know, because I'm pretty adept at — because we've been doing this for so long, that — I asked him what the tax loss was, for the sentencing-guidelines purposes. And he said, you know, where we're at now, it was the same as it was a few months ago when it was that number; you know, that was the guidelines of 18 to 24 months, when there was a protective plea. I understand this isn't a protected plea, because you said you won't accept that. And I understand that, but I just wanted to make sure that, from a guideline standpoint, that there wasn't any change. I haven't had any communication with my attorney over whether there's any been new information.

THE COURT: We're not going to do this, Mr. Brugnara.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: I'm not going to take any --

You either plead guilty to the indictment against you, or we go to trial. None of these qualifications will matter.

You are -- how many years is he looking at in prison? 1 MR. NEWMAN: With a superseding indictment, it's 12 2 years, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: You're looking at 212 years in prison. I'm not going to be bound on the guidelines. I'm not going to be bound by anything that you've just said. And if you don't like it, you don't have to plead guilty; but if you plead 7 quilty, you're going to be pleading open, meaning: no 8 conditions. 9 THE DEFENDANT: And it could be zero. It could be at 10 the highest. 11 It could be zero. You could go get THE COURT: 12 probation. 13 And that's --Yeah, I know. THE DEFENDANT: 14 THE COURT: But -- but you could get twelve years, 15 and you need to realize that. 16 MR. TABACK: Well, your Honor, if I may, what the 17 Government will be doing at the time of sentencing is 18 dismissing Count Four. 19 He's pleading guilty as to Counts One, Two, and 20 Three, so it's a nine-year max. 21 THE COURT: Let's assume that it's nine years; that 22 the Government did that, if it's an open plea to Counts One, 23 Two, and Three. And the Government says that they will dismiss 24 Number Four. Is that right? 25

```
That is correct.
              MR, NEWMAN:
                          All right. Okay.
                                             I'm going to put it
 2
              THE COURT:
    this way. You're looking at up to nine years.
              I am not going to be bound by anything having to do.
  4
    with guidelines.
              THE DEFENDANT: But it also could be zero, like you
 6
    just said. Also --
  7
              THE COURT: It could be zero, yes.
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: I mean, it could be zero.
                                                          It could
 9
    be nine, but, you know, I mean, they could bring five more
    charges tomorrow, and it could be 35. I mean, listen. I'm not
11
    going to fight the Government anymore. Okay?
                                                    That's really
12
    the gist of why we're here. I'm not fighting anymore -- okay?
13
     -- because I'm not going to win. And that is the one thing I
 15
    wanted.
              You cannot beat the federal government. If they're
 16
    going to want their way, that's just the way it's going to be.
 17
 18
    Okay?
              And I'm a pretty good businessman. And I've done a
 19
    billion dollars of deals from scratch. And I'll tell you,
.20
    you're not going to -- you can't -- you can't -- you can't
. 21
     conduct it that way, because it just -- it's just -- it's
 22
     futile.
 23
               THE COURT: I see people. When they're truly
 24
     innocent, they win their cases. The Government can't prove
 25
```

somebody guilty when they're really innocent. 1 2 MR. TABACK: Could I have one moment, your Honor? THE COURT: 3 (Discussion off the record) THE DEFENDANT: You don't need to tell me. I know 5 what I'm saying. 6 I understand what you said, your Honor. And 7 8 that may be true. And it may be true on one case; but then if there's another case, how many times do you have to win? 9 And the bottom line is, if you've done as much 10 business as I've done -- I mean, you know, you're talking about 11 a billion dollars of deals. You back if you, I mean, if you 12 have enough time, and go through every single thing --13 I'm here to plea this out and to say, 14 Listen. "Listen. If you think it's zero, it's zero. If you think it's 15 nine, it's nine." I trust you; that you're a fair judge. 16 That's one thing that I honestly, a hundred percent -- I 17 believe you're a fair person. I think you're -- and you'll do 18 19 what you know is right. So I'm prepared to sign this; that it's guilty, as 20 21 this document says. I'm going to sign it right now. Here's my 22 name on it. 23 It was -- just to let you know, it was my idea. 24 This -- you know, just so there's no -- this wasn't done 25 coming -- I said it. It was my proposal, just to wrap this up.

And, you know, it was my -- it was -- you know, it was my idea. Just, you know, that's it. 2 (Whereupon a document was tendered to the Court) 3 THE COURT: I have to ask you some questions. 4 stand in the middle and raise your right hand and be sworn. .5 LUKE DOMINIC BRUGNARA, б having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 7 THE COURT: Well, first I have some questions for the 8 Let me make sure that the Government is okay with Government. 9 what this says in paragraph 13 of the application. 10 And there is no plea agreement here. So I want to --11 there's just an application. It's written in by hand. 12 Government will dismiss Count Four of the superseding 13 indictment at sentencing. Government will dismiss criminal 14 information filed against defendant on January 25, 2010, and 15 will not seek indictment in that matter. 16 So far okay? 17 18 MR. NEWMAN: Yes. THE COURT: Then it says Defendant will be released 19 from present custodial status on January 28th, 2010; and the 20 Government will recommend at sentencing that Defendant be 21 permitted to voluntarily surrender to the appropriate 22 23 Bureau of Prisons designated facility if a prison sentence is

Is that part true?

24

25

imposed.

That is correct. 1 MR. NEWMAN: THE COURT: And then, finally, it says that the 2 3 Government will not file any additional tax charges related to 4 this investigation or known to exist at this time. This binds 5 only U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California. Is that true also? 6 MR. NEWMAN: That's correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. May I have the indictment? 8 9 don't have that with me. MR. NEWMAN: Your Honor, I have a copy of the 10 superseding indictment here. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. Oh, I do. I do have it here. 13 Okay. 14 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT 15 Mr. Brugnara, state your full name, please. 16 17. A. Luke Brugnara. 18 Q. Do you have a middle initial? "D." 19 A. 20 Q. All right. What does that stand for? Dominic. 21 A. And you know you're under oath now? 22 Q. 23 A. Yes, I do. That means you have to tell the truth. You understand 24 25 that?

10

Γ		
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And you can be prosecuted for perjury if you don't tell
3	the	truth. Do you understand that?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Ω	All right. How old are you?
6	A.	Forty-six.
7	Q.	How far did you go in school?
8	A.	Postgraduate year and a half.
9	Ω.	What is your occupation?
10	A,	Real-estate investor.
11	Q.	Are you thinking clearly today?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Are you under the influence of any medicine, alcohol, or
14	narc	otic?
15	A.	No.

- 16 Q. Are you being treated for any kind of mental illness?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Are you mentally ill?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Is there any medicine you should have taken, but forgot to
- 21 | take?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. All right. Did you read the charges against you in the
- 24 | superseding indictment?
- 25 A. Yes.

Lydia Zinn, CSR, RPR Official Reporter - U.S. District Court (415) 531-6587

- 1 Q. And did you discuss those with your lawyer?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that Mr. Taback?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you go over all of the possible ways to defend
- 6 against this case?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are you fully satisfied with his advice and counsel?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All right. Now, other than what is contained in this -
- 11 this application for permission to plead guilty, have any
- 12 representations at all been made to you by the United States
- 13 government or by anyone else as to what the United States
- 14 government will do in connection with sentencing?
- 15 A. I was told today that, again, even though some part of
- 16 | this plea -- that their position as of today was that the tax
- 17 | laws was what it was when we had the last plea. And there
- 18 | might be some pencil sharpening, you know, fine-tuning, if you
- 19 | want to call it; but it shouldn't affect the guideline from
- 20 where it was before; not -- as you indicated, it doesn't really
- 21 matter, because it's an open plea, but you asked for the --
- 22 what was said, and that was what was said.
- 23 THE COURT: Mr. Newman, what assurances or
- 24 | representations do you make on that point?
- 25 MR. NEWMAN: It was not an assurance.

It was that that the number was what it was on that day, and it may change some, but I don't expect that it may change that more. I've represented that was an offense level 3 18. It may go up some, but I don't know what to. This was an The Court can either follow -- the Court will find. open plea. what the tax law is itself. So it was not a representation, other than that was an offense level 18 then, and it may very 7 well change because I'm coming out with final numbers. BY THE COURT Is that any different from what you understood, 10 Mr. Brugnara? Well, what he said to me was that -- he -- it went 12 further. He said to get to the next level, it would have to be, like, a million dollars more. And they don't expect that 14 to be the case. And there might be some adjustment one way or 15 another, but not to that extent. 16 Do you have anything -- what do you say 17 THE COURT: to that, Mr. Newman? 18 MR. NEWMAN: The next level was a million-dollar tax 19 loss, but the only thing I said was I don't know if it will 20 change, and to what extent it would change. 21 BY THE COURT 22 All right. Well, here's what we have to do on this, 23 Mr. Brugnara. 24

25

I am not going to let you out of a plea or be bound

by anything that the Government has said on this point. If you don't want to go forward, that's fine. 2 go to trial. That's okay with me; but I am -- I am not going 3 to let this be a he-said-she-said situation, which is --4 So did you hear and understand what Mr. Newman just 5 said? 6 Yes, I heard and understood what he said. And I also 7 heard what you said, where it's basically irrelevant anyway, because you said you can go --9 It's not irrelevant. 10 No. I listen to what people argue. If the Government 11 argues for one thing, I pay attention to it, just like I would 12 pay attention to what you argued, or your lawyer argues. And I don't want you later coming and saying that the 14 Government stabbed you in the back by making a stronger .15 argument than they told you about prior to this plea, even .16 though I am free to make my own decision, of course. So you 17 cannot rely upon anything you remember them telling you prior 18 to entering your plea. 19 If you don't want to go forward, that's fine. 20 won't. All you can rely upon is with a counsel has said on the 21 record today. 22 I understand. And -- and I believe that Tom Newman has 23 been straight shooter for two years as far as what he has said 24

with Ken Wine and with the new counsel. And I don't suspect

- 1 that there will be any surprises, I mean, just based on how 2 he's acted so far for two years.
- Q. But if there is is a surprise, you're stuck with what he said today.
- 5 A. Well, but I mean if there is a surprise, I assume that you 6 would consider it a surprise also, and take that --
- 7 | Q. I won't.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. I am going to listen to all of the arguments on the
  10 merits. And I am not going to be hamstrung by what you think
  11 or the Government thinks was some deal out in the hallway.
- 12 A. Mm-hm.

17

Q. I'm going to -- if they come in and argue for three billion dollars more in loss, I'm going to pay attention to it.

And I will not take into account that you think that they were somehow not supposed to make that argument.

You understand that?

- 18 A. I understand that. You know, I'm in no position to really
  19 negotiate, your Honor. I'm sitting here, sitting in a dungeon
  20 in Oakland, so -- I -- you know, I understand.
- One thing I can assure you is I understand what

  22 you're saying. And I understand what he says. And, you know,

  23 I'm prepared to --
- Q. So are you saying that because you're in jail, that you are unable to make a voluntary and informed decision?

- 1	1	No.	No,	ľ	m r	not sa	ying	that.				•	
2			Му		in	fact,	this	decisión	was	my '	my	doing.	I

3 mean, this was my suggestion to resolve these matters.

And obviously, whatever anyone's circumstance is is going to weigh how they make decisions and — in anyone's life on any matter, especially something as important as this. And people have to look globally, you know, to resolve matters such as this that seem to be never ending. As you know, when we started this two years ago, it was a couple of matters. And, you know —

- Q. What else, if anything, has the Government promised you or given you assurances on that you haven't mentioned so far?
- 13 A. Nothing. That I would be out of custody by Thursday.

MR. TABACK: That's already been said.

THE DEFENDANT: That's pretty much it.

The other case -- Judge Chesney has the resolution in

#### BY THE COURT

that case.

5

6

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. Now I want to go through with you what will happen if you plead guilty today. You would be pleading guilty to three counts: One, Two, and Three. And those counts are -- charge you with various tax crimes.

The first one is filing a false tax return for the calendar year 2000.

Count Two charges you with filing a false tax return

Examination by the Court for 2001. Count Three charges you with the same thing for 2002. 2 Are you familiar with those counts? 3 I am. All right. And a maximum per -- is three THE COURT: 5 years per count? 6 MR. NEWMAN: Three years per count, your Honor. 7 BY THE COURT So if you're pleading guilty to things where you could 9 get -- three plus three plus three equals nine. Yes. And you also said you can do zero, too. 11 understand that you have the authority to do either one. Yes, but I want to make sure that you understand the 13 procedure that the Court and the lawyers and you will go through to arrive at what is the right sentence, but first I 15 want to make sure you understand the maximum. I do. 17 The minimum is zero. So you would get between All right. 18 zero -- something between either zero -- could be zero -- all 19 the way up to nine years. 20 . How would we decide what the right answer? 21 Well, first thing is I would refer you to the 22 23

Probation Department. And they would prepare a presentence And you would be interviewed by them. They would do a report. whole work-up on your life history.

> Lydia Zinn, CSR, RPR. Official Reporter - U.S. District Court (415) 531-6587

17

Then you would have a chance to review that to make sure it was as accurate as possible. Then the Government would, too. Everyone would have a chance at making sure that it was accurate. Then we would come back here for another hearing. And at that hearing the issue on the table is: what is the right sentence? And here are the two basic categories that I have to consider. First is what the guideline range is. That is a factor. Two things that drive that. One is what the offense conduct here is, and the other is your life history. For example, if you've had prior run-ins with the law, that has to be taken into account. That would even include the fish case. MR. TABACK: Let him finish first. BY THE COURT I'm telling you the way it normally works. If there's some prior a different understanding, you'd better let me know. I want to check now. So we -- my understanding of the resolution is that you're making the sentencing prior to Judge Chesney. So it's a category one based on whatever you

22

23

decide.

this time?

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. TABACK: May I address the Court, your Honor, at

24

25

THE COURT: Sure.

(415) 531-6587

Lydia Zinn, CSR, RPR Official Reporter - U.S. District Court

18

Thank you. MR. TABACK:

What Mr. Brugnara is referring to is that his understanding -- and he understands that it is just my advice to him -- is that if he comes to your Honor prior to being sentenced in the matter before Judge Chesney, and Judge Chesney 5 has agreed that you could proceed first, that what he pled 6 guilty to before Judge Chesney is -- it was my conclusion, but he's not relying on my conclusion as part of his global resolution; it was just advice. And he understands it's up to 9 the Court to make a guideline determination -- but that it was 10 my conclusion that if he comes to your Honor first, without 11 having been sentenced yet by Judge Chesney, he likely would be 12 in a Criminal History Category One. I did inform him of that, 13 but he understands that he is not relying on that in entering this plea before your Honor, and that you will determine both 15 the appropriate --16

> That's not true. No. THE DEFENDANT:

MR. TABACK: -- offense level and his criminal history category at sentencing.

I don't know the answer to whether or not THE COURT: somebody who has pled guilty, and the plea's been accepted, but they haven't been sentenced yet -- why shouldn't I take that into account for a criminal history?

> As I understand it -- ' MR. TABACK:

What if he had been convicted of murder? THE COURT:

24 25

17

1.8

19

. 20

21

22

23

1

2

```
I wouldn't be able to take that into account?
1
             MR. TABACK: No. You will do what you feel
2
   appropriate. It is my understanding that that would count as
3
   one criminal history point, which would put him in a
4
   criminal-history category of one, if he has no additional
5
   criminal history.
6
                          I don't know what it is.
             THE COURT:
7
             MR. TABACK: I understand that.
8
             THE COURT: -- make up all kinds of things.
9
             MR. TABACK: Your Honor, I'm trying to tell you that
10
   my understanding is that Mr. Brugnara is going forward with the
11
   understanding that you will make the final guideline
12
   determination. It is completely up to you.
13
              THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, in Judge Chesney's court,
14
   just to let you know, this -- I mean, you can confirm with her.
15
   This took four hours. It wasn't, you know, like, out in the
16
   hallway, writing on a napkin. Four hours, from 8:00 to noon --
17
    8:15 to 12:15. And there was conferencing between
18
    Harris Taback, Tom Newman, and the other U.S. Attorney.
19
    they all agreed that it was a level one, because it's going to
20
    be -- like you said, you're saying the basis of your
21
    sentencing -- so that's what they all agreed upon.
22
                          I'm not bound by that.
              THE COURT:
23
              And if it turns out to be level 2 or 3 because you
24
    have some convictions that -- I'm going to --
```

No, no, no. I'm talking about based THE DEFENDANT: 1 2 on what's in the settlement. I mean, there is no other --3 THE COURT: There is no settlement in front of me. I'm telling you that I -- if you all think you have 4 5 some kind of a settlement, let's forget it and go to trial. MR. TABACK: We don't. 6 7. MR, NEWMAN: Your Honor, I don't. THE COURT: All right. 8 9 So I am going to take into account the fish case, probably. So you've got to just accept that, or take back your 11 plea. I'm not forcing you to plead guilty, but you're not 12 13 going to -- you're not going to dictate to the Court what I'm going to consider under the guidelines or not. I'm going to do 15 it right under the guidelines. And if I have to take into account the fish case, I'm going to take it into account. it's in improper to do that, I will not take it into account; 17 but because you had some hallway four-hour discussion, no way 18 19 that's going to bind the Court. Do you understand that? you don't want to go forward --20 I understand that. 21 No. 22 Mr. Brugnara, I've learned my lesson with you. You went through a whole plea once before. And I am not taking any 23 This time I'm going to make it very clear. chances.

25

Your Honor, you know, there are always two sides to

```
everything. So, you know, I mean, I think we both know that.
    So I understand what you're saying.
         All right. So don't come back to me later --
 3
         No.
              I understand.
         And say, "Oh, wait a minute. I didn't understand what the
 5
    Judge was saying."
 б
              All right. Now, I want to go back to where I was.
 7
    was trying to explain to you how I will arrive at the right
 9
    sentence...
              We'll have a whole hearing. I've got to do the
10
                            I don't know what it's going to be.
    quideline calculation.
11
    Once we figure out what the guideline range is, that's our
12
13
    starting point.
              Then I have to take into account what Congress has
14
    said are the factors. They are the need to -- to reflect the
15
    seriousness of the offense. It's important that people pay
16
    their taxes.
17
         Of course.
18
       It's a serious offense when somebody doesn't.
19
              To promote respect for the law, so people out there
20
    will think that they -- the system is being respected; that
21
    people are paying their taxes, or, if they're not paying their
22
    taxes, they're punished; to provide just punishment for the
23
```

To afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct.

24

25

offense.

That's a factor here. That's a factor.
A. Oh, yeah, of course.
Q. People if people get off easy when they cheat on their
taxes, well, then other people will do it.
A. I understand.
Q. If people go to prison, then there will be some
deterrence.
A, You have to understand the volume of deals I do, too.
MR. TABACK: This is not the sentencing hearing.
THE DEFENDANT: I mean, do you want my interjection?
BY THE COURT
Q. No. I don't think you should be interjecting here,
because I'm trying to explain to you how I'm going to decide on
the sentence.
Next is to protect the public from further crimes of
the defendant, to provide the defendant with needed education
and vocational training, medical care, or other correctional
treatment.
Then I need to take into account the kinds of
sentences that are available. That would include probation,
but it also includes a prison sentence.
So anyway, that is there's no way I can tell you
what it will be. I have to go through the process. And A very
important part of that process is I'm going let you, at the end

25 of the sentencing hearing, make as long a speech as you would

```
like to make.
                   And I will listen to all of it and take it into
    account. I will let the lawyers make their speeches.
    ask questions. And then it's the duty of the Court to
 3
    decide -- duty of the Court to decide what is the right
 4
 5
    sentence under the law.
              And I will be as fair as I can humanly be, but what I
   have -- what you need to know is if you don't like the
 7
   sentence, you can't take back your guilty plea and say, "Oh, I
 9
   want to go to trial after all."
        No I understand that.
10
11
        Once you plead guilty today, you can't take it back.
   Period. You will be stuck with your guilty plea.
12
13
   understand that?
   A.
        Yes.
14
        That is part of what I want to say.
15
              These are felonies. You know these are felonies,
16
17
   right?
         I understand.
18
        You will be a convicted felon the rest of your life.
19
   understand? You can't have a gun. You can't hold certain
21
   jobs. You can't have ammunition. So it's a pretty heavy-duty
   thing to be a convicted felon. Do you understand that?
22
23
              Is that fish case a felony, too?
24
             MR. NEWMAN:
                          Yes, it is, your Honor.
```

Yes.

THE DEFENDANT:

#### BY THE COURT

2

3

5

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Q. You'll be a convicted felon four times over.

All right. There's no such thing -- if you get prison time, you're going to serve almost all of it, because there's no parole in the federal system. You got that?

So if you've got nine years, you would serve nine years, except for a little bit that you would get for good behavior. Understand? No parole. Got it?

9 A. Yeah.

Q. All right. When you come out of prison, there's something called "supervised release." It's kind of like what you were on in some ways prior to coming here, but it's more restrictive.

You've got to report to the probation officer for three or four years. They make sure you're not on drugs; that you're getting a job; that you're paying your taxes; that you're -- any number of conditions; that you are subject to searches. They could come in at a moment's notice and search your house, all those things, until your supervised release is up.

Do you understand that part?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay.

A. And you know, your Honor, you have the discretion to -- on this to do whatever you see fit, correct?

Q. No. I have to do what is right under the law; not what I

- 11						
·1	see fit. I can't just willy-nilly say, "Oh, I like					
2	Luke Brugnara, so I'm going to be easy on him, " nor could I say					
3	that I don't like Luke Brugnara, and go hard on him. I have to					
4	do what's right under the law, but there's it's not easy to					
5	tell whether nine years is right or zero years or five years or					
б	three years. I don't know the right answer; but you should be					
7	thinking be prepared for at least the possibility that you					
.8	will spend time in prison.					
9	All right. I want to change the subject to your					
10	right to go to trial.					
11	You ever been in a trial?					
12	MR. TABACK: You're talking about a criminal trial?					
13	THE DEFENDANT: Not a criminal trial.					
14	THE COURT: All right.					
15	Q. But you've been in a civil trial?					
16	A. A long time ago.					
17	Q. All right. Let me go through. I want it's important					
18	for you to know your rights.					
19	A great thing about a trial, from your point of view,					
20	is that you don't have to prove you're innocent. That is not					
21	the way it works. The Government has got to prove you're					
22	guilty.					
23	And you could be guilty as sin, and it's still your					
24	right to make them prove that you're guilty, because under our					
25	system, the burden is always on the Government to prove guilt.					

It's never on the defendant to prove innocence.

Do you understand that?

2

3

5

21

24.

25

A. I understand; but you know, as I indicated earlier, there are so many factors also, you know, that — that make the determination of resolving this situation, you know, because you can't say, "Oh, well, everything's black and white." It's not.

You know, you have somebody who's, you know, in a room the size of your -- probably -- closet for 23 hours a day -- let's see how long you can last in your closet. Right.

Now try it for five days, six days. If you have anything in your head, you go insane, basically, but --

- 13 Q. Mr. Brugnara, are you saying that you're being coerced into pleading guilty?
- 15 A. No. I'm just trying to say that --
- 16 Q. If you are, I'm not going to take a plea. We're just 17 going to go to trial. And, you know, your trial is soon.
- You'll have the opportunity. You had your trial date today in the other case. You had every right. You weren't going to be in any five-foot-square room. You were going to be in a
- 22 A. Yeah. And your trial is in March.
- 23 Q. Yeah. March one. It's about a month away.

courtroom every day, yet you chose to plead guilty.

You'd be here in this big courtroom every day. And the burden would be on the Government.

Now, if you're saying 1 You cannot come back later and say, "Oh, I want to change my mind. I was forced to do this." No one's forced me. I already indicated to you that 4 A No. this was my idea, but I'm just telling you I don't want -- you know, I understand everything on -- on -- on what -- you've already told me about this before. And if you -- I remember what you said. Do you feel you're building coerced into pleading guilty? 9 I think it is what it I don't think I'm being coerced. And you can -- everyone knows what the facts are of my 11 case. You know, that's the way it is. 12 Q. All right. Let me go back to the trial. 13 The great thing about a trial is you don't have to 14 prove you're innocent. They've got to prove you're guilty. And the person that knows the most about what you did 16 wrong is yourself; but the good thing -- another good thing 17 about a trial is that they can't call to the stand to testify 18 against yourself. They've got to do it the hard way. And a 19 tax case -- it's not that easy. They've got to call other 20 witnesses to prove that you're guilty. 21 You get to be here and see all of the witnesses 22 against you; hear them; work with your lawyer, Mr. Taback, for

the best possible cross-examinations of all of those witnesses.

And if you couldn't afford Mr. Taback, I would

23

24

```
require him to represent you as a C.J.A. lawyer, so that you
   would not -- you would always have a lawyer. You would --
   whether you could afford it or not, you would always have a
 3
    lawyer. Do you understand that?
 5
         Yes.
        All right. When the Government rested its case, you can
   put on a defense, but you don't have to because, again, you
 7
   don't have to prove anything. They've got to prove everything;
   but if you chose to put on a defense, you'd have the option.
. 9
   And you could call witnesses in your defense, subject to
10
    cross-examination. We would issue subpoenas to make them come.
11
    We would obligate them to tell the truth by putting them under
12
13
    oath.
              In addition, you could testify in your own defense,
14
    subject to cross-examination. If you chose not to do that,
    that's fine. We would then tell the jury you have a
16
    constitutional right never to testify or not to testify.
17
    you'd have -- they can't in any way hold it against you because
18
    you exercised that right.
19
              Do you understand all of that?
20
21
         I do.
                     Now, the jury would be twelve people.
        All right.
22
    Government would have to prove each and every element of the
23
    offense beyond a reasonable doubt to the satisfaction of the
24
```

all twelve people on the jury.

Do you understand that? 1 2 Yes. If even one person on the jury thought the Government had 3 fallen short by even one element of proof, then that jury could not convict you. 5 Do you understand that? 6 7 Yes. On the other hand, if the Government did satisfy beyond a 8 reasonable doubt all twelve members of the jury as to each and 9 every element, then you would be convicted as to those counts. Do you understand that? 11 Yes. 12 Then you would have the right to appeal both the verdict 13 as well as any sentence that was imposed. Do you understand that? 15 Yes. 16 If you plead guilty, though, today, there will be no trial. You will be going straight to guilty. 18 Understand? 19 20 A. Yes. You could still appeal the sentence. That part you can. 21 Because you don't have any plea agreement here, you could still 22 appeal the sentence, but you would not be able to appeal from 23

24

25

Yes.

your plea. That's a conviction. Do you understand that?

So you would be giving up all of your rights to go to .1 trial. And you'd be giving up all those great constitutional rights that I just described to you. Do you understand that? 3 I understand what you said, but the way you describe it --4 I wouldn't describe it that way. I don't think 5 Thomas Jefferson would approve of this; but that's my opinion, 6 and everyone is entitled to their opinion. 7 Well, okay; but do you understand you'd be giving up those 8 rights? 9 Oh, I know I've already given them up. They're -- they're 10 11 gone. Do you understand the rights that I just described 12 You haven't given them up yet. 13 Do you understand the rights that I've described to 14 15 you? A. Yes. 16 Do you want to freely and voluntarily give those rights 17 18 up? 19 Yes. Is anyone making threats against you that cause you to 20 give up those rights and plead guilty? 21 22 I don't know what you mean by that. Is anyone threatening you in some way that makes you --23 twisting your arm to get you to plead guilty? 24

I'm pleading guilty on my to these charges to resolve

- 1 globally this whole mess.
  - Q. Are you doing that freely and voluntarily?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Are you giving up your rights to go to trial freely and
- 5 | voluntarily?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. All right. Now, I want to ask you if this is true.
- And I'm going to ask the Government to -- I'm going
  to ask you, Mr. Newman, to tell me what your offer of proof -your -- the evidence would be at trial with respect to Count
  Number One, but I'm going to start by asking the defendant.
  - Say with respect to Count One, which is the year 2000 -- calendar year 2000 -- tell me what it is you did wrong.
- A. Well, the income reported for Market and Mission Street -the sale of those office buildings was -- should have been
  shown on the 2000 return, and they were shown on the 2002
- 17 | return.

12

- 18 Q. And how much was that?
- 19 A. I -- I don't know. They were shown two years later, but
- 20 they should have been shown in 2000. So I did that. You know.
- 21 Q. And what was the dollar amount, roughly?
- 22 A. The sales price, or the profit?
- 23 Q. Well --
- 24 A. The sales price on those two? I don't know. Twenty
- 25 million dollars.

2

3

5

17

18

19

20

21:

22

23

24

- What was the profit? I don't think there was any profit on them. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Newman, what would be your evidence with respect to the year 2000? 4 MR. NEWMAN: With respect to the year 2000, the defendant signed and filed a 2000 federal income tax return under penalties of perjury. That return required him to disclose either the sale or the exchange of the properties
- located at 18 -- excuse me, your Honor -- 810 to 814 Mission Street, and the sale of a property located at 935 to 939 Market 10 Street; and that those properties were -- that with respect to 11 the Mission Street property, it was purchased in 2000. And the 12 defendant sold the property in -- sorry. It was purchased in 13 The defendant sold the property in 2000, realizing a 1994. 14 gain of \$8 million. And the Market Street property was sold in And the defendant realized a gain of \$6 million. 16 2000.

The last element of that offense is willfulness, your Honor. With respect to willfulness, the defendant engaged independent accountants when he pursued a gaming license in Those accountants were hired for two purposes: Las Vegas. examine his past tax returns -- those being before the year 2000 -- and to prepare his 2000 and 2001 federal income tax returns.

During an exchange between January and March of 2001 -- in other words, the period when this return in Count

One would be prepared -- they asked the specific questions of when the Market and Mission Street properties were sold; what 2 the purchase price was; and what the amount of the gain was. 3 The express purpose for the questions, which was 4 relayed seven times over a month-and-a-half period, was to 5 prepare the federal income tax return charged in Count One. 6 That return was eventually prepared by the defendant, 7 rather than the accountants. And, despite disclosing that he 8 realized a gain on these properties, it was not reported on the 9 income tax return. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 Is all that true, Mr. Brugnara? 12 Actually, the account was a Coopers & Lybrand offshoot. 13 When they broke up back in 2000, they actually were engaged to 14 do the precash statement required by the Gaming Commission. 15 They were only They weren't engaged to do the tax returns. 16 engaged to do the report for the Gaming Commission. 17 And I don't know what letters he's talking about 18 19

And I don't know what letters he's talking about here. It's ten years ago; but I did prepare those returns.

And I prepared the, you know, previous ten years' returns also before that. So I prepared them. And the information was false on them, according to this indictment.

Q. No. You got to tell -- the indictment is not -- it's -You're charged with filing a false income tax return
for 2000 -- federal return. Do you admit that that's what you

Lydia Zinn, CSR, RPR.
Official Reporter - U.S. District Court
(415) 531-6587

20

21

22

23

24

did? 1 Yes. 2 A. And it was willful? 3 I filed it, yes, willfully. Yeah. 4 A, And you willfully omitted that sale involving 5 Market Street and Mission Street properties, true? 6 It was put on the 2002 return. It was willfully omitted 7 A. from the 2000 return. And --And there was an \$8 million profit? 9 Q. It was shown on the 2002 return. 10 That there was an \$8 million profit? 11 Well, whatever the sales price was reflected. We had a 12 lot of losses from interest payments and, you know --Was there is a profit? 14 Q. Well, they weren't single-purpose assets. 15 There were several office buildings that were pooled 16 into one corporation -- the Bugnara Corporation. So there 17 were, like, six or seven of them. 18 So, for instance, the interest and the expenses of 19 all of the buildings would offset, as I understood it, the 20 capital gains held within that corporation, but --21 So, again, I don't have the -- Harris Taback didn't 22 bring the case book today, so I don't see the notes on that 23 It was ten years ago; but I'm pleading guilty based upon 24

what this says here.

1 Q. No.

2

3

4

5

8

9

18

I want you to tell me; not "based upon what it says here." Is it correct, as charged, that you willfully filed a false return for the calendar year 2000? Is that true?

- A. The statements here are accurate (indicating).
- 6 Q. And when you say "the statements here," you're talking about Count One?

MR. TABACK: Say "Yes" or "No."

THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me; please.

He has his side, your Honor. I have mine. I -- I'm an expert.

#### 12 BY THE COURT

- 13 Q. Mr. Brugnara, it's a simple question. Do you admit that the charge against you in Count One is true?
- 15 A. True.
- 16 Q. All right. Turning to Count Two, have you had -- I want you to look at that. This one is for the year 2001.

It says that you reported no income related to the disposition of the Post Street property, among other things.

- 20 Is that Count Two true?
- 21 A. Again, your Honor, it's the same explanation as the first one. It was deferring the sale profit erroneously, willfully;
- 23 however you want to categorize it. And I say "True" to that as
- 24 | well.
- 25 Q. All right. Did you willfully and knowingly file a false

36

return for 2001?

- 2 A. As they describe it as false, that's correct.
- 3 Q. Count Three -- it's for 2002. This is dealing, among
- 4 other things, with the Las Vegas property. Did you knowingly
- 5 and willfully file a false federal return for the year 2002, as
- 6 | alleged in Count Three?
- 7 As they describe it.
- 8 Q. That's not a good enough answer.
- 9 A. Yes. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Now, I'd like for the Government to give me your factual basis for Counts Two and Three.

MR. NEWMAN: Yes, your Honor.

Now, addressing the elements in turn, the first element of the offense is that the defendant signed a written declaration under penalties of perjury that the tax return was true and correct.

If called upon to testify -- and, in fact, written deposition testimony would show that the defendant was questioned about these tax returns, and identified these returns having been filed with the IRS as his for both of these years and, in fact, for all three years. And that element would be met, as well as by the fact that no other returns were filed in the name of the defendant for those years.

As noted before, the defendant engaged independent

accountants to prepare, among other things, preopening cash statement for that year when he was applying for a gaming license between 1999 and 2000.

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With respect to Count Two, as to the tax return being false, the defendant sold 490 Post Street that year. And the sale of that property or the disposition of that property does not appear on that return, which would make the return false.

That the defendant acted willfully in filing that return. The defendant disclosed to those accountants, as part of the preopening cash statement, that he did sell that property, and that he sold it at a gain.

And those accountants were asking what the disposition price was. And, in fact, they wrote him opinion letters on February 17th and February 21st of 2001, telling the defendant that he needed to file or that he needed to report the disposition of any property, whether it was sold or exchanged, and whether or not any income was even realized.

And that -- that property does not appear as being sold or exchanged on the 2001 federal income tax return.

The same is true for Count Three, in which the defendant sold a subparcel at the property located 3025 South Las Vegas Boulevard. That sale took place in 2002. The sale does not appear in the defendant's 2002 federal income tax return. And, as I stated before, the accountants that he had hired in 2001 told them that he needs to report the sale of any

38

business property, whether income was realized, and whether or not it was a sale or an exchange.

And that -- that sale also does not appear on the defendant's 2002 federal income tax return.

THE COURT: All right. I think I have run out of questions.

Are there any questions that the lawyers think the Court needs to ask before we conclude today?

MR. NEWMAN: No, your Honor.

MR. TABACK: No, your Honor.

## BY THE COURT

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Mr. Brugnara, are there any questions you have for the Court that perhaps I could answer?
- A. I just want to state on that -- the last statement, that was actually shown on the 2004 return, though I was doing it wrong. And I just want to make that point.
- Q. Well, you have acknowledged that you willfully and knowingly filed false returns for those three years. Is that correct?
- 20 A. As is stated here.
- 21 Q. I'm not asking you how -- what the Government is alleging.
  22 That's not good enough. You need to admit. You have already
  23 done it once, but I'll let you take it back if you want.
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. I'm not going to let you plead guilty if you're just

```
saying, "Oh, the Government alleges that."
             Let's go through it again.
2
             For each of those years, did you willfully and
3
   knowingly file a false return?
 4
        The return is false, as it states here. And I filed it
5
   willfully, yes.
        And did you know that it was false when you filed it?
 7
        Yes, I did.
8
             THE COURT: All right.
9
             MR. TABACK:
                           Okay.
10
   BY THE COURT
11
        Now, do you want more time to think about it, or do you
12
   want to go forward now and plead guilty?
14
        No.
            No.
   A.
        You want to go forward now?
15
         Yeah.
16
   A.
                     I'm going to ask you then --
17
   Q.
        All right.
              Does one of the lawyers want to say something?
18
              MR. TABACK: No, no.
19
              THE COURT: All right.
20
         I'm going to ask you how you plead to Counts One, Two, and
21
    Three in the superseding indictment that we've been discussing,
22
    each of which charges you with willfully and knowingly filing
23
    false federal income tax returns for the years 2000, 2001, and
24
           Do you plead guilty or not guilty?
25
    2002.
```

## Guilty. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Brugnara, I'm going to do what you've 2 asked me to do. I'm going to find that you're fully competent 3 and capable of entering an informed plea; that you are aware of 4 the nature of the charges against you, and the possible 5 consequences of pleading guilty; that your pleas of guilty are 6 supported by an independent basis in fact; that your pleas of 7 guilty are voluntary and informed. And therefore, the Court will accept your pleas of guilty, and adjudge you convicted of 9 Counts One, Two, and Three, as charged. 10 I'm now going to, as I said I would, refer you to the 11 Probation Department for preparation of the presentence report. 12. We will come back here for sentencing at what date, 13 Dawn? 14 THE CLERK: May 4th. 15 May 4th, 2:00 p.m. 16 THE COURT: Please cooperate in the presentence report, because 17 it's a very important document. 18 And I think we're done for today. Am I right? 19 Yes, your Honor. MR. NEWMAN: 20 Yes, your Honor. Thank you for handling 21 MR. TABACK: We appreciate that. this plea so late in the day. 22 THE COURT: All right. See you soon. 23

24

25

(The proceedings were adjourned at 5:00 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LYDIA ZINN, Official Reporter for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings in CR. 08-0222-WHA, United States of America v. Luke Brugnara, were reported by me, a certified shorthand reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings as bound by me at the time of filing.

The validity of the reporter's certification of said transcript may be void upon disassembly and/or removal from the court file.

/s/ Lydia Zinn, CSR 9223, RPR
Thursday, February 18, 2010